



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SN

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/605,056	06/28/00	KOYAMA	K P107344-0000
IM22/0801		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE N W SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20036-5339		COLAIANNI, M	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1731	
DATE MAILED: 08/01/01			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/605,056	Applicant(s) Koyama et al.
Examiner Michael Colaianni	Art Unit 1731

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 4, 2001

2b) This action is non-final.

2a) This action is FINAL.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-14

is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____

is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____

is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-14

is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____

is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____

are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on Jun 4, 2001 is: a) approved b) disapproved.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on Jun 4, 2001 is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/726,175.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

20) Other: _____

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No. 8

Serial No.: 09/605056

Art Unit: 1731

2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ono et al.

5430904.

Ono et al. teach applicant's claimed invention comprising: a case having shape and dimensions to be held and manipulated by one hand (col. 6, lines 45-55); a feed reel rotatably provided in the case and winding a coat film transfer tape (col. 11, lines 33); a take-up reel rotatably provided in the case and collecting the coat film transfer tape after use (col. 11, line 35); an interlock means for linking said feed and take-up reels so as to cooperate with each other (col. 11, lines 41), and a coat film transfer head protruding at a front end of the case and pressing the coat film transfer tape onto an object of transfer (col. 6, line 49); a clutch means for synchronizing, at least in one of the feed and take-up reels a feed, a feed speed and take-up speed of the coat film transfer tape between the feed and take-up reels; wherein the clutch means composes, at least in one of the feed and take-up reels, power transmission means provided between a tape winding portion for winding up the coat film transfer tape and a rotary drive unit for rotating and driving the tape winding portion (col. 11, lines 41-54), and is composed by

Serial No.: 09/605056

Art Unit: 1731

frictionally engaging with each other engaging portions formed in confronting axial end surfaces of the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit (Figure 12, ref. nos. 7, 8, 10 and col. 11, lines 45-51); and wherein there is no axial movement of the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit relative to each other during rotation of the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit (col. 12, lines 38-55, the slip ring and feed core are biased against one another by the spring; the spring prevents axial movement); and wherein power transmission means is from a frictional force caused by a thrust load between the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit, and is connected and disconnected by a difference in torque therebetween, the thrust load, which causes the frictional force, is set by predetermined relational dimensions of the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit in the axial direction between the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit (col. 11, lines 41-54, the thrust force between the members 7 and 8 controls the amount of torque supplied to the feed reel).

Ono et al. also teaches claim 12 (col. 11, lines 31-59).

Ono et al. further teach that the tape rewinding means of claim 15 has an axial free end and the rewinding operation unit is integrally formed at the end surface of the free end (Fig. 3, ref. no. 9 and 1c). Also, Ono et al. teach that the clutch mechanism is placed in both the feed reel and take-up reel (Fig. 16, ref. nos. 9, 7 and 10).

3. Claims 1-2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ono et al.

5430904.

Ono et al. teaches applicant's claimed invention of a clutch mechanism for a film transfer

Serial No.: 09/605056

Art Unit: 1731

tool having a feed reel and a take-up reel rotatably provided in a hand-held case the two reels being synchronized (col. 6, lines 45-55; col. 11, lines 33, 35), power transmission means between the tape winding portion for winding-up the coat film and a rotary drive unit for rotating and driving the tape winding portion, the power transmission means being composed by frictionally and directly engaging with each other engaging portions formed in confronting axial end surfaces of the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit (Figure 12, ref. nos. 7, 8, 10 and col. 11, lines 45-51) and wherein the power transmission means is from a frictional force caused by a thrust load between the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit and is connected and disconnected by a difference in torque therebetween, the thrust load, which causes the frictional force, is set by predetermined relational dimensions of the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit in the axial direction between the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit (col. 11, lines 41-54, the thrust force between the members 7 and 8 controls the amount of torque supplied to the feed reel).

Ono et al. also teach that the the first and second engaging surface is in the shape of annular ribs (Fig. 15, ref. no. 15; here "annular" has been interpreted to mean ribs arranged in the shape of a ring, which is taught by Ono et al.).

Ono et al. also teaches using a position defining unit for suppressing distance between axial end surfaces of the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit (Fig. 2, ref. no. 11, the spring 11 maintains the force between the clutch plate 8 and tape winding portion 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al. 5430904.

Ono et al. substantially teaches applicant's claimed invention. See the above 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection above for Ono et al.'s teachings. However Ono et al. do not explicitly teach the various combinations of flat and ribbed frictional surfaces as claimed in claims 3-7.

However, Ono et al. teach that using a flat surface in combination with a ribbed surface is well known in the film transfer tool clutch mechanism art (Fig. 12, ref. nos. 7, 8, 10 and 12). Thus, using the various claimed combinations of a flat surfaces and ribbed surfaces to achieve the clutch action that is desired would have been obvious in view of Ono et al.'s teachings.

Moreover, regarding claim 7 directed to using a flange with elasticity as part of the

Art Unit: 1731

frictional engagement in the clutch mechanism, Ono et al. does teach a series of raised ridges that form a square pattern surrounds the reel and support the slip ring (Fig. 15, ref. no. 12 and 15). These raised ridges serve the same purpose as the raised flange and changing the shape of the

ridges from a square shape to a circular shape is deemed an obvious design choice.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious at the time the invention as made to combine the various clutch surfaces and an annular elastic flange with Ono et al.'s transfer film clutch mechanism for the reasons given in the body of the rejection and because the choice of shape of the engagement surfaces has no bearing on the strength or other characteristics of the device, so the particular choice is also merely an obvious design choice.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 4, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

35 U.S.C. §102(b) Rejection over Ono et al. 5,430,904

Applicant argues that Ono et al.'s power transmission means is different from that recited by the presently pending claims of application. Applicant argues that Ono et al.'s mechanism requires an additional spring to function while applicant's mechanism does not require the spring.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Ono et al.'s use of a spring as a biasing member for the clutch is of no importance. Applicant uses the open-ended claim language "comprising" which may include any additional

Serial No.: 09/605056

Art Unit: 1731

elements as long as the structural elements claimed are met. In this case, Ono et al. teaches the claimed elements as noted above in the rejection. Thus, the claims are deemed to be anticipated.

35 U.S.C. §103(a) and 102(b) over Tucker

The rejection over Tucker has been withdrawn due to the amendment of the claims.

However, the new rejection of the claims over Ono et al. was required due to the amendment.

Applicant's arguments with respect to Tucker will not be addressed because the rejection is moot.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

8
Serial No.: 09/605056

Art Unit: 1731

should be directed to Michael Colaianni whose telephone number is (703) 305-5493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.


MICHAEL COLAIANNI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1731
July 30, 2001